Joint calibration estimators for totals and quantiles for probability and nonprobability samples

Maciej Beręsewicz^{1,2}, Marcin Szymkowiak^{1,2}

¹Poznań University of Economics and Business ²Statistical Office in Poznań

uRos 2024

29.11.2024

- Introduction
- Aim of the presentation
- Calibration for total and quantile
- jointCalib package
- Examples
- Literature

Introduction

- The authors' work has been financed by the National Science Centre in Poland, OPUS 22, grant no. 2020/39/B/HS4/00941.
- Detailed description can be found in two our working papers:
 - A note on joint calibration estimators for totals and quantiles (https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13281)
 - Quantile balancing inverse probability weighting for non-probability samples (https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09726; Minor Review at the Survey Methodology journal).
- Codes to reproduce the results are freely available from the github repository: https://github.com/ncn-foreigners/.
- R packages: jointCalib for joint calibration for totals and quantiles and nonprobsvy for non-probability samples. Both available through CRAN.
- The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the policies of Statistics Poland.

Introduction

- In this presentation we consider a method of joint calibration for totals (**Deville** and Särndal 1992) and quantiles (**Harms and Duchesne**, 2006).
- The proposed method is based on the classic approach to calibration and simultaneously takes into account calibration equations for totals and quantiles of all auxiliary variables.
- Final calibration weights w_k reproduce known population totals and quantiles for all auxiliary variables.
- At the same time, they help to reduce the bias and improve the precision of estimates.

Contribution

- We extend the calibration/IPW paradigm to jointly account for totals/means and quantiles in probability and non-probability samples.
- We propose a new package jointCalib which allows co create calibration weights to reproduce population totals and population quantiles for a set of auxiliary variables,
- The proposed approach allows the same vector of weights (calibration weights) to be used in the estimation of totals and quantiles for variables under study.
- The package implements calibration through sampling, laeken and survey packages as well as entropy balancing (via the ebal package) and empirical likelihood (using base R).

Setup (1)

- Let $U = \{1, ..., N\}$ denote the target population consisting of N labelled units.
- Each unit k has an associated vector of auxiliary variables x and the target variable y, with their corresponding values x_k and y_k , respectively.
- s denotes a probability sample of size n.
- $d_k = 1/\pi_k$ is a design weight and π_k is the first-order inclusion probability of the *i*-th element of the population U.

Calibration approach

In most applications the goal is to estimate a finite population total

$$\tau_{y} = \sum_{k \in U} y_{k} \tag{1}$$

or the mean

$$\bar{\tau}_y = \tau_y / N \tag{2}$$

of the variable of interest y, where U is the population of size N.

The well-known estimator of a finite population total is the Horvitz-Thompson estimator

$$\hat{\tau}_{y\pi} = \sum_{k \in s} d_k y_k. \tag{3}$$

• In most cases original weights d_k do not reproduce know population totals for auxiliary variables. They have to be calibrated.

Calibration approach for total

• Let \mathbf{x}_k° be a J_1 -dimensional vector of auxiliary variables for which

$$\tau_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k \in U} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\circ} = \left(\sum_{k \in U} x_{k1}, \dots, \sum_{k \in U} x_{kJ_{1}}\right)^{T} \tag{4}$$

is assumed to be known.

- In most cases in practice the d_k weights do not reproduce known population totals for auxiliary variables \mathbf{x}_k° .
- It means that the resulting estimate $\hat{\tau}_{x\pi} = \sum_{k \in s} d_k x_k^{\circ}$ is not equal to τ_x .

Calibration approach for total

- The main idea of calibration is to look for new calibration weights w_k which are as close as possible to original design weights d_k and reproduce known population totals τ_x exactly.
- In other words, in order to find new calibration weights w_k we have to minimise a distance function

$$D(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k \in s} d_k G\left(\frac{v_k}{d_k}\right) \to \min$$
 (5)

to fulfil calibration equations

$$\sum_{k \in s} v_k \mathbf{x}_k^{\circ} = \sum_{k \in U} \mathbf{x}_k^{\circ}, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$ and $G(\cdot)$ is a function which must satisfy some regularity conditions.

Calibration approach for total

ullet The final calibration estimator of a population total au_y can be expressed as

$$\hat{\tau}_{yx} = \sum_{k \in s} w_k y_k,\tag{7}$$

where w_k are calibration weights obtained for instance for $G(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2}$ as follows:

$$w_k = d_k + d_k \left(au_{m{x}} - \hat{ au}_{m{x}\pi}
ight)^T \left(\sum_{j \in m{s}} d_j m{x}_j^{\circ} m{x}_j^{\circ T}
ight)^{-1} m{x}_k^{\circ}.$$

Calibration approach for quantile

We assume that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{x},\alpha} = \left(Q_{\mathbf{x}_1,\alpha}, \dots, Q_{\mathbf{x}_{J_2},\alpha}\right)^T \tag{8}$$

is a vector of known population quantiles of order α for a vector of auxiliary variables \mathbf{x}_k^* , where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and \mathbf{x}_k^* is a J_2 -dimensional vector of auxiliary variables.

- It is worth noting that, in general, the numbers J_1 and J_2 of the auxiliary variables are different.
- It may happen that for a specific auxiliary variable its population total and the corresponding quantile of order α will be known. However, in most cases quantiles will be known for continuous auxiliary variables, unlike totals, which will be generally known for categorical variables.

Calibration approach for quantile

• A calibration estimator of quantile $Q_{v,\alpha}$ of order α for variable y is defined as

$$\hat{Q}_{y,cal,\alpha} = \hat{F}_{y,cal}^{-1}(\alpha), \tag{9}$$

where a vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T$ is a solution of optimization problem

$$D(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}} d_k G\left(\frac{v_k}{d_k}\right) \to \min$$
 (10)

subject to the calibration constraints

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} v_k = N \tag{11}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{x},cal,\alpha} = \left(\hat{Q}_{x_1,cal,\alpha}, \dots, \hat{Q}_{x_{J_2},cal,\alpha}\right)^T = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{x},\alpha}, \tag{12}$$

where $j = 1, ..., J_2$.



Calibration approach for quantile

• If $G(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2}$ then using the method of Lagrange multipliers the final calibration weights w_k can be expressed as

$$w_k = d_k + d_k \left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} - \sum_{k \in s} d_k \mathbf{a}_k \right)^T \left(\sum_{j \in s} d_j \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{a}_j^T \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_k, \tag{13}$$

where $T_a = (N, \alpha, ..., \alpha)^T$ and the elements of the vector $\mathbf{a}_k = (1, a_{k1}, ..., a_{kJ_2})^T$ are given by

$$a_{kj} = \begin{cases} N^{-1}, & x_{kj} \leq L_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \\ N^{-1} \beta_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), & x_{kj} = U_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \\ 0, & x_{kj} > U_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \end{cases}$$
(14)

with $j = 1, ..., J_2$.

- Let us assume that we are interested in estimating a population total τ_y and/or quantile $Q_{y,\alpha}$ of order α , where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ for variable of interest y.
- Let $\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k^\circ \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_k^* \end{pmatrix}$ be a J+1-dimensional vector of auxiliary variables, where $J=J_1+J_2$.
- We assume that for J_1 variables a vector of population totals τ_x is known and for J_2 variables a vector $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{x},\alpha}$ of population quantiles is known.
- In practice it may happen that for the same auxiliary variable we know its population total and quantile.
- We do not require that the complete auxiliary information described by the vector \mathbf{x}_k is known for all $k \in U$.

• In our joint approach we are looking for a vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T$ which is a solution of the optimization problem

$$D(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k \in s} d_k G\left(\frac{v_k}{d_k}\right) \to \min$$
 (15)

subject to the calibration constraints

$$\sum_{k \in s} v_k = N,\tag{16}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \mathbf{x}_k^{\circ} = \tau_{\mathbf{x}}, \tag{17}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{x},\mathsf{cal},\alpha} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{x},\alpha}.\tag{18}$$

Alternatively, the last calibration constraint can be expressed as

$$\sum_{k \in s} v_k \boldsymbol{a}_k = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}},\tag{19}$$

where as previously $T_a = (N, \alpha, ..., \alpha)^T$ and the elements of the vector $\mathbf{a}_k = (1, a_{k1}, ..., a_{kJ_2})^T$ are given by

$$a_{kj} = \begin{cases} N^{-1}, & x_{kj} \leq L_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \\ N^{-1} \beta_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), & x_{kj} = U_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \\ 0, & x_{kj} > U_{x_{j},s} (Q_{x_{j},\alpha}), \end{cases}$$
(20)

with $j = 1, ..., J_2$.

- Assuming $G(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{2}$ function, an explicit solution of the above optimization problem can be derived.
- Let $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} au_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k \in s} d_k \mathbf{x}_k^{\circ} \\ \sum_{k \in s} d_k \mathbf{a}_k \end{pmatrix}$.
- Then the vector of calibration weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T$ which solves the above optimization problem satisfies the relation:

$$w_k = d_k + d_k \left(\boldsymbol{h_x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{h}_x} \right)^T \left(\sum_{j \in s} d_j \boldsymbol{x_j} \boldsymbol{x_j}^T \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_k.$$
 (21)

• Under this function, the calibration estimator using (21) is equivalent to a generalised linear regression estimator (GREG) given by

$$\hat{ au}_{y_{\mathbf{X}}}^{GREG} = \sum_{k \in S_{A}} d_{k}^{A} y_{k} + \left(\mathbf{h}_{x} - \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{x}\right)^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}},$$

ullet Therefore, we assume that the relationship between auxiliary variables $m{x}_k^\circ$ and $m{x}_k^*$ through $m{a}_k$ is linear as in

$$\hat{y}_k = (\mathbf{x}_k^{\circ})^T \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\circ} + \mathbf{a}_k^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*. \tag{22}$$

jointCalib 0.1.2 Reference Articles ▼ Changelog

Search fc

Overview

Details

A small package for joint calibration of totals and quantiles (see <u>Beresewicz and Szymkowiak (2023)</u> working paper for details). The package combines the following approaches:

- Deville, J. C., and Särndal, C. E. (1992). <u>Calibration estimators in survey sampling</u>. Journal of the American statistical Association, 87(418), 376-382.
- Harms, T. and Duchesne, P. (2006). On calibration estimation for quantiles. Survey Methodology, 32(1), 37.
- Wu, C. (2005) Algorithms and R codes for the pseudo empirical likelihood method in survey sampling. Survey Methodology, 31(2), 239.
- Zhang, S., Han, P., and Wu, C. (2023) <u>Calibration Techniques Encompassing Survey.</u>
 <u>Sampling, Missing Data Analysis and Causal Inference</u>, International Statistical Review 91, 165–192.

which allows to calibrate weights to known (or estimated) totals and quantiles jointly. As an backend for calibration sampling (sampling::calib), laeken (laeken::calibWeights), survey (survey::grake) or ebal (ebal::eb) package can be used. One can also apply empirical likelihood using codes from Wu (2005) with support of stats::constrOptim as used in Zhang, Han and Wu (2022).

Links

View on CRAN

Browse source code

Report a bug

License

GPL-3

Citation

Citing jointCalib

Developers

Maciej Beręsewicz

Dev status

R-CMD-check passing

CRAN 0.1.0

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.8355993



jointCalib – the main function

Usage

```
joint_calib(
 formula totals = NULL,
 formula quantiles = NULL.
 data = NULL.
 dweights = NULL,
 N = NULL
 pop_totals = NULL,
 pop quantiles = NULL,
 subset = NULL.
 backend = c("sampling", "laeken", "survey", "ebal", "base"),
 method = c("raking", "linear", "logit", "sinh", "truncated", "el", "eb"),
 bounds = c(0, 10),
 maxit = 50.
 tol = 1e-08.
 eps = .Machine$double.eps,
 control = control calib(),
  . . .
```

jointCalib – the main function

formula_totals

a formula with variables to calibrate the totals,

formula_quantiles

a formula with variables for quantile calibration,

data

a data.frame with variables,

dweights

initial d-weights for calibration (e.g. design weights),

Ν

population size for calibration of quantiles,

pop totals

a named vector of population totals for formula_totals . Should be provided exactly as in survey package (see survey::calibrate),

pop_quantiles

a named list of population quantiles for formula_quantiles or an newsvyquantile class object (from survey::svyquantile function),

An example

```
set.seed(123)
N <- 1000
x <- runif(N, 0, 80)
y <- exp(-0.1 + 0.1*x) + rnorm(N, 0, 300)
p <- rbinom(N, 1, prob = exp(-0.2 - 0.014*x))
df <- data.frame(x, y, p)
df_resp <- df[df$p == 1, ]
df_resp$d <- N/nrow(df_resp)</pre>
```

An example – known quantiles and totals

```
## information about population quantiles and totals
probs \leftarrow seq(0.1, 0.9, 0.1)
v_quant_true <- quantile(v, probs)</pre>
quants_known <- list(x=quantile(x, probs))</pre>
totals_known <- c(x=sum(x))
## standard calibration
result0 <- sampling::calib(Xs = cbind(1, df_resp$x),
                             d = df_resp$d,
                             total = c(N, totals_known),
                             method = "linear")
```

An example – calibration of totals and quantiles

An example – results

```
> result
Weights calibrated using: linear with sampling backend.
Summary statistics for g-weights:
  Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
  1.244 1.431 1.888 2.037 2.378 4.172
Totals and precision (abs diff: 8.409491e-08)
        totals precision
       1000.00 -2.141746e-09
x 0.10 0.10 -2.887413e-13
x 0.20 0.20 -6.920020e-13
\times 0.30 0.30 -7.922552e-13
x 0.40 0.40 -1.168732e-12
x 0.50 0.50 -1.096512e-12
x 0.60 0.60 -1.642686e-12
x 0.70
          0.70 - 1.754152e - 12
\times 0.80 0.80 -1.792344e-12
\times 0.90
          0.90 -2.097322e-12
x
      39782.22 -8.194183e-08
```

An example – comparison of estimates of τ quantiles of Y

```
> data.frame(total = y_quant_hat0,
            totals_and_quant =y_quant_hat1,
+
            true=y_quant_true)
+
       total totals_and_quant
                                   true
                                            > data.frame(total.
10% -284.3574
                   -285.34675 -292.97255
20% -131.7079
                   -131.70792 -128.19010
                                                         totals_and_quant)
30%
    -25.2815
                    -21.94192
                               -10.07312
                                              total
                                                         totals_and_quant
40%
     80.5919
                     84.23786 84.64057
                                               109.0958
                                                                 71.85097
50%
    175.5490
                    178.96015 184.87445
60%
    274.0404
                    279.73343 294.76788
70%
    412.2826
                    426.98679 453.35435
80%
    592.0840
                    606.73082
                               669.36570
90% 1105.6883
                   1172.38891 1163.92646
```

Summary

- The approach that extends calibration to simultaneously account for:
 - Known population totals for auxiliary variables
 - Known population quantiles for auxiliary variables
- Final calibration weights w_k reproduce both totals and quantiles
- Implementation available in jointCalib R package:
 - Supports multiple calibration methods (linear, raking, entropy)
 - Integrates with existing R packages (sampling, survey)
 - Allows flexible specification of totals and quantiles
- We encourage you observe our organization at Github (github.com/ncn-foreigners) and the repo for the package (ncn-foreigners/jointCalib).

Literature

- Chen Y., Li P., Wu C. (2020), "Doubly robust inference with nonprobability survey samples", Journal of the American Statistical Association, 115 (532), 2011–2021.
- Deville J-C., Särndal C-E. (1992), "Calibration Estimators in Survey Sampling", Journal of the American Statistical Association, Vol. 87, 376–382.
- Harms T., Duchesne, P. (2006), "On calibration estimation for quantiles", Survey Methodology, 32(1), 37.
- Kott P.S., Chang T. (2010), "Using calibration weighting to adjust for nonignorable unit nonresponse", Journal of the American Statistical Association, 105 (491), 1265–1275.
- Wu C., Thompson M.E. (2020), "Sampling theory and practice", Springer.

Thank you for your attention!